SHORT REPORT



Open Access

The importance of RT-qPCR primer design for the detection of siRNA-mediated mRNA silencing

Mike Herbert^{1,3}, Natacha Coppieters^{1,4}, Annette Lasham^{1,5}, Helen Cao^{1,6} and Glen Reid^{1,2,7*}

Abstract

Background: The use of RNAi to analyse gene function *in vitro* is now widely applied in biological research. However, several difficulties are associated with its use *in vivo*, mainly relating to inefficient delivery and non-specific effects of short RNA duplexes in animal models. The latter can lead to false positive results when real-time RT-qPCR alone is used to measure target mRNA knockdown.

Findings: We observed that detection of an apparent siRNA-mediated knockdown *in vivo* was dependent on the primers used for real-time RT-qPCR measurement of the target mRNA. Two siRNAs specific for *RRM1* with equivalent activity *in vitro* were administered to A549 xenografts via intratumoural injection. In each case, apparent knockdown of *RRM1* mRNA was observed only when the primer pair used in RT-qPCR flanked the siRNA cleavage site. This false-positive result was found to result from co-purified siRNA interfering with both reverse transcription and qPCR.

Conclusions: Our data suggest that using primers flanking the siRNA-mediated cleavage site in RT-qPCR-based measurements of mRNA knockdown *in vivo* can lead to false positive results. This is particularly relevant where high concentrations of siRNA are introduced, particularly via intratumoural injection, as the siRNA may be co-purified with the RNA and interfere with downstream enzymatic steps. Based on these results, using primers flanking the siRNA target site should be avoided when measuring knockdown of target mRNA by real-time RT-qPCR.

Background

The use of RNAi to inhibit gene expression has revolutionised medical research and has great therapeutic potential. However, inefficient siRNA delivery and off-target effects hamper translation from *in vitro* experiments to *in vivo* research and clinic application. Many approaches to improve delivery are under investigation, such as the use of localised delivery by direct injection and topical application, and intravenous administration for systemic delivery [1-3]. Despite the growing use of RNAi *in vivo*, very few studies include data to confirm that the observed effects of the siRNA are due to an RNAimediated mRNA cleavage mechanism rather than nonspecific events.

The importance of confirming that mRNA reduction following siRNA administration has occurred via RNAimediated events is highlighted by recent studies reporting the contribution of the innate immune system to apparent *in vivo* knockdown of target mRNAs. The double-stranded nature of siRNA imparts the ability to trigger an innate immune response via the activation of Toll-like receptors (TLR 3, 7 and 8) and binding to proteins such as retinoic acid inducible gene 1 (RIG-1) [4,5]. These interactions may cause a down-regulation of gene expression that can be falsely attributed to a sequence-specific RNAi-meditated event. Together this suggests that many of the reports of *in vivo* efficacy of siRNAs can be explained by a general down-regulation of transcription that is stimulated by the double-stranded RNA structure of siRNA without involving RNAi, especially in the absence of corroborating evidence [6,7].

More recently Holmes *et al.* found that the 3'fragment produced following siRNA-mediated cleavage of certain target mRNAs can persist and that this can compromise RT-qPCR-mediated detection of knockdown [8], similar to the findings of others [9,10] suggesting that incomplete degradation of mRNA cleavage fragments can result in inaccurate determination of knockdown by RT-qPCR.



© 2011 Reid et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: glen.reid@sydney.edu.au

¹Genesis Research & Development Corporation, Ltd, PO Box 50, Auckland 1140, New Zealand

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

They suggest the use of primers flanking the cleavage site as a means to avoid this problem. Here we show that this approach can lead to artefactual results when siRNAs are used in certain *in vivo* settings, as siRNAs co-purified with total RNA can interfere with downstream analysis, in some cases leading to false positive results.

Materials and methods

Cell Culture

The A549 (human non-small cell lung cancer) and Hepa 1-6 (mouse hepatoma) cell lines used in this study were obtained from ATCC and were grown in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (both from Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA), at 37°C in humidified air with 5% CO_2 .

siRNAs and transfection

The siRNAs and Lipofectamine RNAiMax were from Invitrogen. The siRNA sequences are listed in Table 1. Transfection was carried out as described previously [11], using Lipofectamine RNAiMax (at a concentration of 0.8 μ L per mL) and cells at a final density of 5 × 10³ per cm². After overnight incubation, transfection medium was replaced with RPMI containing 10% FBS, and cells were analyzed at indicated time points.

RT-qPCR

RNA was isolated using the PureLink 96 RNA Purification system (Invitrogen), with an additional Trizol (Invitrogen) extraction for in vivo samples, quantified via spectrophotometry using a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific), and first-strand cDNA synthesised using Superscript III polymerase (Invitrogen), as described [12]. For realtime qPCR, the cDNA was diluted 1:4 in 10 mM Tris pH 7.0, with reactions carried out on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) using SybrGreen I Master mix (Roche) and gene-specific primers at 180 nM (Table 1) in 384 white multi-well plates [12]. Primer sets specific to different regions were used to measure RRM1 mRNA, as depicted in Figure 1. Melting curve analysis was performed to confirm that a single product was being amplified, and the products were run out on agarose gels to confirm their predicted amplicon size (data not shown). For competition experiments, the indicated concentrations of siRNAs or RNA oligonucleotides were added to the RNA or cDNA templates prior to reverse transcription or PCR, respectively. Levels of RRM1 mRNA were normalised to the reference genes LMNA or HMBS, with ApoB normalised to Polr2a for mouse samples, and relative change in mRNA levels following treatment with specific or control siRNA was calculated from triplicate technical replicates of each using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method

	Table 1	Sequences	of s	siRNAs,	RNA	oligos	and I	RT-qPCR	primers	used ir	the	study
--	---------	-----------	------	---------	-----	--------	-------	---------	---------	---------	-----	-------

	siRNAs					
Name	Passenger strand	Guide strand				
RRM1-2	CCCAGUUACUGAAUAAGCAGAUCUU	AAGAUCUGCUUAUUCAGUAACUGGGCU				
RRM1-3 [§]	GCAAACUCACUAGUAUGCACUUCUA	UAGAAGUGCAUACUAGUGAGUUUGCCU				
ApoB-1 [§]	GUCAUCACACUGAAUACCAAU	AUUGGUAUUCAGUGUGAUGAmC*mA*C				
ApoB1 mm control	GUGAUCAGACUCAAUACGAAU	AUUCGUAUUGAGUCUGAUCAmC*mA*C				
81-control	AAGAUCUGCUUAUUCAGUAACUGGG	CCCAGUUACUGAAUAAGCAGAUCUU				
	Single-strand RNA	oligos				
Name	Sequence					
RRM1-3-sense	GCAAACUCACUAGUAUGCACUUCUA					
RRM1-3-antisense	UAGAAGUGCAUACUAGUGAGUUUGCCU					
	qPCR Primer	S				
Target	Forward	Reverse				
RRM1(A) [†]	TGGATCAAGGTGGGAACAAG	CGACGAGAAGGAAAGGACAC				
RRM1(B) [†]	GGTACAAGGTCTGGCAGATGCT	TTCCAGTGTCGACCGAAGGT				
$RRM1(C)^{\dagger}$	CATCCACATTGCTGAGCCTAAC	GGGTCAGAAGTTTGGGACGAA				
ApoB1 site	AGCCATGGGCAACTTTACCT	AAAGGAAATGGGCAACGATA				
ApoB external	GGCACTGTGGGTCTGGAT	TTCTTCTCTGGAGGGGACTG				
HMBS	AGCCTGTTTACCAAGGAGCT	GAGTGAACAACCAGGTCCAC				
LMNA	TGAGGCCAAGAAGCAACTTCA	CTCATGACGGCGCTTGGT				
Polr2a	TTACTCCCCTGCATGGTCTC	TGGGAGACATAGCACCACCT				

* Represents phosphorothioate linkages between nucleotides in the siRNA backbone. [†] Targets RRM1-A, B and C represent the cleavage sites for the various *RRM1*-specific siRNAs, as shown schematically in Figure 1A. [§]RRM1-3 and ApoB1 are complementary in sequence to both human and mouse target genes. Accession numbers: *RRM1*, NM_001033.3; *LMNA*, NM_005572.3; *HMBS*, NM_000190.3; *ApoB*, NM_009693.2; *Polr2a*, NM_009089.2. Amplicon sizes: RRM1(A): 114 bp; RRM1(B): 127 bp; RRM1(C): 79 bp; LMNA: 139 bp; HMBS: 65 bp; ApoB1 site 107 bp; ApoB external: 86 bp; Polr2a: 95 bp.



[13]. RNA, tumour and cell samples were all stored at -80°C, whilst cDNA was stored at -20°C. Positive controls for RT-qPCR using cDNA prepared from an A549 *in vitro* culture showed % CV of < 1.1 for all human primer sets between assays, whilst intra-assay variation was < 1% for human primers, and < 2% for mouse primers.

MBRACE

5'-RLM-RACE was performed using the GeneRacer kit (Invitrogen) with the manufacturer's instructions modified as previously described [12]. The first round 5'RACE reaction product (1 μ l) was used as a template for the MBRACE reaction [12] using the FastStart Taq-Man[®] Probe Master (Roche) and primers and probes at the following concentrations: 180 nM MB-R, 3.6 μ M MB-F and 250 nM molecular beacon probe (all specific for the target gene cleavage site). Reactions were run on a LightCycler 480 as described [12].

Tumour models

A549 or Hepa 1-6 cells grown *in vitro* were detached from flasks with trypsin, and the enzymatic reaction was stopped by the addition of fresh culture medium containing FBS. After two washes with PBS, the cells were resuspended in PBS at a final density of 8×10^7 cells/ml (A549 cells) or 5×10^6 cells/ml (Hepa1-6 cells). Using a 26-gauge needle, groups of five CD1 nude mice were injected subcutaneously on the flank with 100 µl of the cell suspension. When tumours reached 50-100 mm³ in size, they were twice injected (24 h apart) with 25 µg siRNA in 50 µl saline; tumours were excised as described (12). The effect of intratumoural injection on *RRM1* or *ApoB* mRNA levels was assessed by real-time RT-qPCR 24 h after the second injection.

Results and Discussion

RRM1 reduction measured by RT-qPCR following

intratumoural siRNA administration is primer-pair specific In a previous screen we identified *RRM1* as a potential target for siRNA-based cancer therapy [11]. Three different siRNAs (RRM1-1, -2 and -3) induced significant knockdown of RRM1 mRNA and protein in A549 cells in vitro, leading to growth inhibition and the induction of apoptosis [11]. Furthermore, transfection of A549 cells with RRM1-2 prior to implantation into nude mice markedly inhibited tumour growth [11]. Having observed growth inhibitory effects both in vitro and in vivo following RRM1 knockdown in tumour cells, we then assessed the ability of siRNAs RRM1-2 and RRM1-3 to silence RRM1 mRNA via intratumoural injection of siRNAs into pre-existing subcutaneous xenografts. These siRNAs were shown to be equally potent with IC₅₀ values in vitro of approximately 20 pM [11,14]. Tumours were twice injected (24 h apart) with 25 µg of RRM1-2 or RRM1-3 siRNA in 50 µl normal saline and excised 24 h after the second injection. RNA was isolated and *RRM1* expression analysed by RT-qPCR using two different primer pairs (RRM1-B and RRM1-C in Figure 1).

As seen in Figure 2, measurement of an apparent change in mRNA levels was dependent on location of the primer pair in relation to the siRNA target site (shown schematically in Figure 1). Primers flanking the RRM1-2 siRNA target site (RRM1-B) showed an apparent reduction of RRM1 mRNA of up to 60% in tumours injected with RRM1-2 siRNA, whereas little knockdown was observed in tumours injected with RRM1-3 siRNA (Figure 2A). Conversely, the use of a primer pair flanking the RRM1-3 site (RRM1-C) in real-time RT-qPCR showed a much greater apparent reduction in tumour RRM1 mRNA levels in tumours injected with RRM1-3 siRNA (Figure 2B). However, the use of primer pair RRM1-A, generating an amplicon upstream of both RRM1-2 and RRM1-3 target sites resulted in measurements of RRM1 mRNA levels that did not differ from control siRNA-injected tumours (data not shown).

These results suggested that the knockdown was nonspecific, and this was further confirmed using a Molecular Beacon RACE assay, MBRACE, [12] specific for the cleavage point of either RRM1-2 or RRM1-3 siRNAs. Cleavage of RRM1 mRNA was detected with cDNA prepared from RNA isolated from A549 cells transfected with either RRM1-2 and RRM1-3 siRNA, but despite the significant knockdown detected by RT-qPCR in the in vivo study (Figure 2) there was no detection of specific products of siRNA-mediated knockdown in injected tumours (Figure 3). Similar results were observed when Hepa1-6 tumours were injected with ApoB- or Rrm1specific siRNA. The ApoB1 siRNA used was previously shown to silence ApoB effectively in vitro, as well as in vivo following hydrodynamic tail-vein injection [12]. After intratumoural injection, however, an apparent reduction in the ApoB or Rrm1 mRNA was observed only when the primers flanked the target site (Figure 4).



The presence of siRNA compromises downstream reactions

The apparent knockdown of *RRM1/Rrm1* in tumours following intratumoural injection of siRNA was found to relate to the primer pair used in real-time RT-qPCR, in contrast to the similar levels of knockdown measured *in vitro* after transfection with three different siRNAs, irrespective of the primer pair used in RT-qPCR. This suggested that the residual siRNA was interfering with subsequent steps in the analysis, perhaps explained by the concentration of siRNA in the RNA isolated in each system. The method of RNA isolation used in this study involves binding the RNA to a size selection column, which should exclude small RNAs less than 90 bp. However, miRNAs have been isolated using this procedure [15] suggesting that the columns only reduce, but do not exclude, small RNAs.

In the *in vitro* transfection, an siRNA concentration of 10 nM is the equivalent to ~80 ng per well in a 24-well plate. If one assumes cells take up half of the siRNA used in the transfection (and minimal degradation occurs during the 24 h transfection period), the siRNA component of the RNA isolated from the cells (around 10 μ g) is less than 1% of the total (80 ng siRNA in ~10 μg of cell-derived total RNA). In contrast, 25 μg siRNA injected twice into a tumour with a volume of 50 mm³ and yielding 50 µg total RNA is likely to be a much greater proportion of the isolated RNA and has the potential to interfere with downstream applications. Further adding to the potential for co-purification is the use of Stealth-modified siRNA duplexes, which have chemical modifications imparting resistance to nucleases and stability in serum.





To explore this possibility, we investigated the effect of adding siRNA to the real-time qPCR step (Figure 5). We used cDNA reverse-transcribed from RNA isolated from the tumour of a PBS-treated mouse as template for real-time qPCR, and measured the effects of adding increasing amounts of various siRNAs. As shown in Figure 5A, PCR with the addition of RRM1-2 siRNA at high (50 to 200 μ M) concentrations led to inhibition of RT-qPCR, but only when the primer pair flanking the

target site (RRM1-B) was used. In contrast, there was no effect on the *RRM1* mRNA levels measured in the presence of either RRM1-3 or *ApoB*-specific siRNAs. We also assessed whether siRNA was able to interfere

We also assessed whether siRNA was able to interfere with the reverse transcription step of real-time RT-qPCR. We again used RNA isolated from the tumour of a PBS- treated mouse as template, but here we added increasing concentrations of siRNA or single-stranded RNA to the RNA template in the reverse transcription reaction. RRM1-3 or control siRNA, as well as the single-stranded sense or antisense strands of the RRM1-3 siRNA were added at the concentrations indicated. As seen in Figure 5B, introducing either the RRM1-3 siRNA duplex or antisense strand led to reduced detection of *RRM1* message when RRM1-3 flanking primers (RRM1-C) were used. In contrast, introducing RRM1-2 siRNA or RRM1-3 sense strand had no effect on measurements of *RRM1* levels. When the primer pair flanking the RRM1-1 site (RRM1-A) was used, no interference was observed (data not shown). Lastly, we added RRM1-2 or RRM1-3 siRNA (25 μ g) to tumours prior to RNA extraction, and







evaluated mRNA measurements with different primer pairs (Figure 5C). Only when a flanking primer pair was used with template containing the corresponding siRNA was an apparent knockdown detected; no effect was seen with the RRM1-A primer pair, which is located upstream of both RRM1-2 and RRM1-3 sites.

Conclusions

Following intratumoural injection of *RRM1*-specific siR-NAs, the apparent reduction of *RRM1* transcript levels was found to be a function of the primer pair used. Subsequent *in vitro* investigations suggested that this most likely resulted from interference with reverse transcription, and to a lesser extent real-time qPCR, caused by siRNA co-purified in the RNA isolation. These data suggest that primers flanking the siRNA target site should be avoided in studies of siRNA *in vivo*, especially when large amounts of siRNA are used.

Acknowledgements

We thank Damian White for help with qPCR, and Marika Eszes and Sheryl Feng for expert assistance with animal work.

Author details

¹Genesis Research & Development Corporation, Ltd, PO Box 50, Auckland 1140, New Zealand. ²Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. ³Department of Structural Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. ⁴Department of Pharmacology, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. ⁵Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. ⁶CSL Limited, 45 Poplar Rd, Parkville VIC 3052, Australia. ⁷Asbestos Diseases Research Institute (ADRI), Bernie Banton Centre, University of Sydney, Hospital Road, Concord NSW 2139, Australia.

Authors' contributions

MH performed molecular studies and analysed data, NC designed competition experiments, AL designed experiments and analysed data, HC carried out tumour studies, GR conceived of the study and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 28 August 2010 Accepted: 25 May 2011 Published: 25 May 2011

References

- Behlke MA: Progress towards in vivo use of siRNAs. Mol Ther 2006, 13:644-670.
- Dykxhoorn DM, Lieberman J: Running interference: prospects and obstacles to using small interfering RNAs as small molecule drugs. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2006, 8:377-402.
- Whitehead KA, Langer R, Anderson DG: Knocking down barriers: advances in siRNA delivery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009, 8:129-138.
- Robbins M, Judge A, MacLachlan I: siRNA and innate immunity. Oligonucleotides 2009, 19:89-102.
- Rossi JJ: Innate immunity confounds the clinical efficacy of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Gene Ther 2009, 16:579-580.
- Kleinman ME, Yamada K, Takeda A, Chandrasekaran V, Nozaki M, Baffi JZ, Albuquerque RJ, Yamasaki S, Itaya M, Pan Y, et al: Sequence- and targetindependent angiogenesis suppression by siRNA via TLR3. Nature 2008, 452:591-597.

- Robbins M, Judge A, Ambegia E, Choi C, Yaworski E, Palmer L, McClintock K, MacLachlan I: Misinterpreting the therapeutic effects of small interfering RNA caused by immune stimulation. *Hum Gene Ther* 2008. 19:991-999.
- Holmes K, Williams CM, Chapman EA, Cross MJ: Detection of siRNA induced mRNA silencing by RT-qPCR: considerations for experimental design. *BMC Res Notes* 2010, 3:53.
- Hahn P, Schmidt C, Weber M, Kang J, Bielke W: RNA interference: PCR strategies for the quantification of stable degradation-fragments derived from siRNA-targeted mRNAs. *Biomol Eng* 2004, 21:113-117.
- Shepard AR, Jacobson N, Clark AF: Importance of quantitative PCR primer location for short interfering RNA efficacy determination. *Anal Biochem* 2005, 344:287-288.
- Reid G, Wallant NC, Patel R, Antonic A, Saxon-Aliifaalogo F, Cao H, Webster G, Watson JD: Potent subunit-specific effects on cell growth and drug sensitivity from optimised siRNA-mediated silencing of ribonucleotide reductase. J RNAi Gene Silencing 2009, 5:321-330.
- Lasham A, Herbert M, Coppieters't Wallant N, Patel R, Feng S, Eszes M, Cao H, Reid G: A rapid and sensitive method to detect siRNA-mediated mRNA cleavage in vivo using 5' RACE and a molecular beacon probe. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010, 38:e19.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 2001, 25:402-408.
- Patel R, T'Wallant NC, Herbert MH, White D, Murison JG, Reid G: The potency of siRNA-mediated growth inhibition following silencing of essential genes is dependent on siRNA design and varies with target sequence. Oligonucleotides 2009, 19:317-328.
- Patnaik SK, Kannisto E, Yendamuri S: Overexpression of microRNA miR-30a or miR-191 in A549 lung cancer or BEAS-2B normal lung cell lines does not alter phenotype. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e9219.

doi:10.1186/1756-0500-4-148

Cite this article as: Herbert *et al.*: The importance of RT-qPCR primer design for the detection of siRNA-mediated mRNA silencing. *BMC Research Notes* 2011 **4**:148.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit