# Short Report

# **Open Access**

# Parallel changes in gene expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and the brain after maternal separation in the mouse

Johan H van Heerden<sup>1</sup>, Ana Conesa<sup>2</sup>, Dan J Stein<sup>3</sup>, David Montaner<sup>2</sup>, Vivienne Russell<sup>4</sup> and Nicola Illing<sup>\*1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7701. South Africa, <sup>2</sup>Bioinformatics Department, Centro de Investigación Principe Felipe, Valencia, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa and <sup>4</sup>Department of Human Biology, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa

Email: Johan H van Heerden - jheerd@falw.vu.nl; Ana Conesa - aconesa@cipf.es; Dan J Stein - dan.stein@uct.ac.za; David Montaner - dmontaner@cipf.es; Vivienne Russell - vivienne.russell@uct.ac.za; Nicola Illing\* - nicola.illing@uct.ac.za

\* Corresponding author

Published: 25 September 2009

BMC Research Notes 2009, 2:195 doi:10.1186/1756-0500-2-195

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1756-0500/2/195

© 2009 Illing et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 3 August 2009 Accepted: 25 September 2009

#### Abstract

**Background:** The functional integration of the neuro-, endocrine- and immune-systems suggests that the transcriptome of white blood cells may reflect neuropsychiatric states, and be used as a non-invasive diagnostic indicator. We used a mouse maternal separation model, a paradigm of early adversity, to test the hypothesis that transcriptional changes in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) are paralleled by specific gene expression changes in prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus (Hic) and hypothalamus (Hyp). Furthermore, we evaluated whether gene expression profiles of PBMCs could be used to predict the separation status of individual animals.

**Findings:** Microarray gene expression profiles of all three brain regions provided substantial evidence of stress-related neural differences between maternally separated and control animals. For example, changes in expression of genes involved in the glutamatergic and GABAergic systems were identified in the PFC and Hic, supporting a stress-related hyperglutamatergic state within the separated group. The expression of 50 genes selected from the PBMC microarray data provided sufficient information to predict treatment classes with 95% accuracy. Importantly, stress-related transcriptome differences in PBMC populations were paralleled by stress-related gene expression changes in CNS target tissues.

**Conclusion:** These results confirm that the transcriptional profiles of peripheral immune tissues occur in parallel to changes in the brain and contain sufficient information for the efficient diagnostic prediction of stress-related neural states in mice. Future studies will need to evaluate the relevance of the predictor set of 50 genes within clinical settings, specifically within a context of stress-related disorders.

## Background

The application of microarray techniques has provided insights into the multi-dimensional molecular nature of complex neuropsychiatric disorders. Studies have highlighted the value of using peripheral tissue targets [1,2], an approach based on the functional integration of neural-, endocrine- and immune-systems [3]. Regulatory exchanges between components of these systems provide a foundation for using peripheral tissue targets as indicators of neuropsychiatric states.

One of the earliest demonstrations that gene expression changes in peripheral blood mononucleoctyes (PBMCs) reflected disease states in the brain, was based on a rat model, where acute neural assaults resulted in gene expression changes in PBMCs within 24 hours [4]. Recent studies have focused on human neuropsychiatric disorders with more subtle disruptions in neurophysiology. Segman et al [1] were able to predict the onset and progression of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), in recently traumatised patients. Similarly, Tsuang et al [2] showed that the microarray analysis of peripheral blood samples discriminated between patients clinically diagnosed with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder and healthy controls. Nevertheless, it remains to be established whether gene expression changes in peripheral tissue targets are paralleled by specific transcriptional alterations in neural tissues [1].

We have used the model of maternal separation, which is known to induce long term alterations in neurophysiology and stress-related behaviours in adult rodents [5,6] to investigate i) whether parallel changes occur in gene expression in three brain regions (the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and hypothalamus) and PBMCs and ii) whether gene expression changes in PBMCs could be used to predict the animal treatment group.

### Methods

### Animals and treatment

Maternal separation was carried out on C57BL/6 mice as previously described [6] with some modifications. Briefly, MS litters were separated from dams for 3 h a day, starting at 12 h 00 and ending at 15 h 00, from postnatal day (PND) 1 to 14. SH animals underwent brief daily handling. All subsequent procedures were carried out using males only, as the consequences of separation are gender specific [6].

# Acute restraint stress, sacrifice, blood collection and brain dissections

Mice ( $N_{MS}$  = 30,  $N_{SH}$  = 30) were subjected to 10 min of acute restraint stress and allowed to recover for 20 min prior to sacrifice. Restraint stress was chosen as a means of acutely activating the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal

(HPA) axis (HPAA), which allowed for an assessment of possible differences in plasma corticosterone profiles (van Heerden et al, submitted manuscript). All mice were sacrificed, by means of cervical dislocation, immediately followed by decapitation and collection of trunk blood. Neural tissues: the (1) prefrontal cortex (PFC), (2) hippocampus (Hic) and (3) hypothalamus (HYP) were immediately dissected and submerged in RNALater<sup>®</sup> (Qiagen Inc., USA).

### Microarray processing and data analysis

Fifty-five samples, 15× PFC (8× MS and 7× SH), 10× Hic and 10× Hyp (5× MS and 5× SH, each and 20× PBMC (10× MS and 10× SH) were used for microarray processing, with a two-colour common reference design. Samples were matched, so that 10 individuals (5× MS and 5× SH) were completely represented in all tissues. A common reference pool was constructed by combining equal amounts (0.75 µg) of PFC and Hic RNA from both groups. Commercial pre-spotted, full mouse genome, microarray slides (OpArray<sup>™</sup>) were sourced from Operon (Operon Biotechnologies, Germany). Full details of RNA labelling, microarray hybridization, image capture and microarray data processing are given in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods. Microarray data are available in the ArrayExpress database http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress under accession number E-MEXP-2101.

Data normalization was done in R, using the Limma package [7]. Pre-processing and removal of batch effects were done using GEPAS http://www.gepas.org and ASCA-genes [8] respectively. Differentially expressed genes were identified using a concordance strategy [9], based on overlap between three statistically divergent approaches. Genes that had a P-value < 0.05, using both the Info statistic, from the ScoreGenes software package <u>http://</u> www.cs.huji.ac.il/labs/compbio/scoregenes/, and the Tusher et al [10] Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) implementation in the T-Rex module of GEPAS http://www.gepas.org, in addition to an absolute foldchange > 1.2 (where fold change is defined as the fold difference between MS and SH), were considered to be differentially expressed (DE).

All data clustering was done in the Tigr MultiExperiment Viewer V4.1 (TMEV, <u>http://www.tm4.org</u>) using a Pearson correlation metric with average linkage. Functional enrichment of GO terms within differentially expressed gene sets was evaluated using Blast2GO [11]. Gene set enrichment analysis on lists ordered according to SAM statistics was done using FatiScan <u>http://www.babe</u> <u>lomics.org[12]</u>. The PFC and Hyp lists were evaluated using 50 partitions, the PBMC list using 55 partitions and the Hic list using 60 partitions. The efficiency of PBMC gene expression profiles at predicting the treatment class of samples (i.e. MS or SH) was evaluated with the Prophet module in GEPAS <u>http://</u><u>www.gepas.org[13]</u> using both the K-nearest neighbour (KNN) and Support Vector machine (SVM) algorithm options. Leave-one-out cross validation was used to counter selection bias whilst simultaneously assessing prediction efficacy.

### **Results and Discussion**

Microarray data comparing the response of control and MS adult mice to stress was used to investigate the presence of a functional link between gene expression changes in the brain and PBMCs. In the first instance data was analysed to characterise the transcriptional response of three brain regions, the prefrontal cortex, the hippocampus and hypothalamus to stress, and to investigate whether a coordinated change in glutamatergic and GABAergic systems occurred in MS mice. Corresponding differences in gene expression in PBMCs of MS mice compared to control mice were also identified. Importantly, these differences could be used to predict the treatment status of mice.

### Microarray analysis

After normalization, replicate merging, removal of flagged features and imputation, the number of genes expressed in each tissue was: (1) PFC, 15 760; (2) Hic, 17 344; (3) Hyp, 15 794 and (4) PBMC, 13 306.

#### MS produced gene expression differences in all tissues

Differentially expressed (DE) genes were identified in all tissues (Figure 1A-D). A summary of all DE genes is provided in [see Additional file 2 Table S2], [see Additional file 3 Table S3], [see Additional file 4 Table S4], and [see Additional file 5 Table S5]. The unsupervised hierarchical sample clustering of differentially expressed genes, produced clear group (MS or SH) separations within all tissues (Figure 1E-H). No single gene was differentially expressed across all tissues.

# Gene set enrichment analysis revealed significant functional themes

The FatiScan analysis revealed the significant enrichment of functional terms, in all tissues (Figure 2 and Figure 3). In PBMC samples (Figure 3B), over-expressed terms could be grouped, generally, into signalling- (GO:0004872, GO:0051606, GO:0005887, GO:0007165, GO:0007154), immune- (GO:0006955, GO:0006952, GO:0005856, GO:0007275) and, interestingly, neurologically-related (GO:0008188, GO:0050877) classes. On the other hand, under-expressed terms all displayed a metabolic theme, with terms related to RNA and protein processing (GO:0003735, GO:0016070, GO:0044267, GO:0009058, GO:0009059, GO:0015031, GO:0006412, GO:0005840, GO:0003676 and GO:0043021) and energy metabolism (GO:0005739, GO:0051187 and GO:0006099). These results suggest a functional shift in the immune system in PBMCs in MS mice, characterised by the coordinated down-regulation of energy requiring processes, such as protein synthesis and transport. This functional shift might reflect the well characterised mobilisation of energy and inhibition of further storage in response to stress [14].

# Response of the glutamergic and GABergic systems in neural tissues after stress

DE genes and enriched functional terms from the PFC datasets highlighted the importance of the glutamatergic and GABAergic systems in the stress-related response of the MS mice. These two neurotransmitter systems constitute the major stimulatory (glutamate) and inhibitory (GABA) mechanisms of neurotransmission, and work counteractively to ensure optimal neuronal activity after stress [15]. Glutamatergic signalling was enhanced in MS mice possibly as a consequence of deficiencies in GABAergic mediated inhibitory mechanisms.

DE genes whose products are involved in the modulation of glutamatergic and GABAergic signalling included P2yr4 and Npvf (Figure 4). The activation of P2yr4 positively regulates glutamate release [16], whereas Npvf is an important inhibitor of GABAergic neurotransmission [17]. The over-expression of both these genes in the MS PFC tissue, points to a hyperactive glutamatergic system. Supporting this observation is the under-expression of Myo6 in the MS samples. Myo6 is crucial for the efficient endocytosis of postsynaptic glutamate receptors, with deficiencies resulting in increased excitatory neurotransmission [18]. Htr3a was also under-expressed in MS samples. This receptor is strongly associated with GABAergic neurons and interneurons which activate the GABA mediated inhibitory neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex [19]. The coordinated under-expression of both pre- and post-synaptic component GO terms further supports the hypothesis of a hyperglutamatergic state in the PFC of MS mice (Figure 4). Specifically, genes supporting depletion of postsynaptic components in MS mice included three GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (GABA<sub>A</sub> alpha-1 and -3, and GABA<sub>A</sub> gamma-3) (Figure 4); such receptors mediate inhibition of neurotransmission with disruptions resulting in enhanced anxiety [20]. Genes supporting functional depletion of presynaptic components included two metabotropic glutamate receptors, mGluR3 and mGluR7 (Figure 4). These receptors participate in negative feedback mechanisms that inhibit presynaptic glutamate release. Results from the hippocampal gene expression dataset extend these observations, with the over-representation, in MS samples, of genes involved in ionotropic glutamate signalling (Figure 4). Although this hyperglutamatergic theme was not readily apparent in either the DE genes or func-



# Figure I

**Differential gene expression results**. Venn diagrams show the overlap between different gene selection criteria (Info and SAM P < 0.05 and Fold difference > 1.2) for (A) PBMC, (B) pFC, (C) Hic and (D) Hyp. This gene selection strategy significantly reduced the number of genes identified as DE by any one single criterion. Also shown are the false colour sample profiles of hierarchically clustered differentially expressed genes for (E) PBMC samples [347 over- and 71 under-expressed], and neural tissues (F) pFC [66 over- and 88 under-expressed], (G) Hic [71 over- and 75 under-expressed] and (H) Hyp [69 over- and 81 under-expressed]. The selected genes produce a clear separation between MS and SH samples. Genes more highly expressed in MS samples are at the top and those more highly expressed in SH samples at the bottom. P = PBMC; F = pFC.



#### Figure 2

**FatiScan gene set enrichment results**. Shown are significant co-ordinately expressed GO terms within whole gene sets for (A) PFC and (B) Hic. The normalized percentage of genes annotated with a specific term is indicated for each group. Red indicates coordinated over-expression in MS group and Blue coordinated over-expression SH group (or under-expression in MS group). Colour intensity denotes how strongly a term is over- or under-expressed.



#### Figure 3

**FatiScan gene set enrichment results**. Shown are significant co-ordinately expressed GO terms within whole gene sets for (A) Hyp and (B) PBMC. The normalized percentage of genes annotated with a specific term is indicated for each group. Red indicates coordinated over-expression in MS group and Blue coordinated over-expression SH group (or under-expression in MS group). Colour intensity denotes how strongly a term is over- or under-expressed.



## Figure 4

Schematic summary of neural gene expression results in support of a stress-related hyperglutamatergic state in MS brain samples. Such a hyperglutamaterigc state could potentially result in elevated stress-induced corticosterone responses. Red indicates over-expression and blue under-expression, in MS samples, respectively. An asterisk indicates genes or functional classes that were found to be regulated in a coordinated manner. Glu = Glutamate, (+) indicates increased signalling activity, (-) indicates decreased signalling/activity.

tionally enriched terms of the hypothalamus dataset, under-expression of cortistatin may be relevant insofar as cortistatin signalling inhibits glutamate induced responses in hypothalamus [21] (Figure 4).

These findings are consistent with the central role of glutamate in the stress-response, in structures such as PFC and hippocampus. Stressors such as acute restraint have been shown to produce dramatic and rapid increases in glutamate levels primarily in the PFC, which ultimately culminates in HPAA activation and glucocorticoid secretion. In addition, the hippocampus is a major site of stress-associated glutamate action. The mechanisms which regulate glutamate action and release within this region function downstream of prefrontal cortical processes, constituting a secondary stress-response phase, which, unlike the PFC, is sensitive to neuroendocrine modulation [22]. The glutamatergic signature found here in both the PFC and hippocampus is therefore consistent with previous work.

# Functional significance of gene expression changes in PBMC tissues

A large number of genes (418) were found to be differentially expressed between MS and SH individuals and included several genes whose products are important modulators of immune system function. Examples include *Foxp3*, an essential modulator of T cell function [23]; *IL-17ra*, the receptor target for the IL-17 mediated inflammatory pathway [24]; and *Ccl5* (also known as *Rantes*), which regulates the activity of several cellular populations within the immune system [25].

The evidence obtained from the neural transcriptomes (combined with corticosterone and behavioural profiles; van Heerden et al Submitted Manuscript) indicates that pre-weaning treatment (MS or SH) result in differential stress-related profiles. Given this context, the gene expression information derived from the PBMC samples was evaluated in terms of its ability to derive accurate predictions of pre-weaning status of individuals.

# PBMC gene expression profiles accurately predict sample classes

The classification and prediction of sample classes (MS or SH) using PBMC gene expression values, were found to be highly efficient. Using KNN (with 4 neighbours), 50 genes (Figure 5; Table 1) were sufficient to accurately identify sample classes 19 out of 20 times. Most of the genes included in the predictor were over-expressed (Figure 5B). SVM, however, only achieved this success rate using a minimum of 125 genes (with linear and radial kernels). Importantly, this 125 gene set consisted of the 50 genes included in Table 1, in addition to 75 other genes, which were the same for both algorithms (data not shown).

Of the 50 genes included in the predictor, 46 were functionally annotated. Of particular interest was the identification of 3 genes, *Oxt, Cck* and *Adcy8* (all over-expressed), whose products are known to be important mediators of stress- and anxiety-associated behaviours (Table 1) [26-28]. Both Oxt and Cck are neuroactive hormones with previously described endogenous immunomodulatory properties [29,30]. These results confirm that the transcriptional profiles of peripheral immune tissues do indeed contain sufficient information for the efficient diagnostic prediction of stress-related neural states in mice. Products of these genes may participate in pathways that are particularly sensitive to stress-induced regulation of the immune system.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Authors' contributions**

JHvH carried out the animal studies, microarray experiments, data analysis and drafted the manuscript. NI designed and supervised the study, and assisted with the writing of the manuscript. DM and AC assisted with the analysis of the microarray data. DJS and VR contributed to the conception and design of the study, and assisted in the editing of the final versions of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Table 1: Summary of 50 gene predictor set, which classified samples with 95% accuracy\*

Operon Oligo ID	Description	Symbol	ENSEMBL/Refseq/Riken ID	Over/Under expressed in MS
M400008627	RIKEN cDNA 4921528107 gene	4921528107Rik	ENSMUSG0000074149	over
M200012683	Acetyl-Coenzyme A acetyltransferase 2	Acat2	ENSMUSG0000023832	over
M400004596	A disintegrin-like and metalloprotease with thrombospondin type 1 motif. 9	Adamts9	ENSMUSG0000030022	over
M200000582	Adenylate cyclase 8	Adcv8	ENSMUSG0000022376	over
M200005645	Actin related protein 2/3 complex, subunit 5-like	Arpc51	ENSMUSG0000026755	over
M200006901	ATPase, H+ transporting, lysosomal V0 subunit E2	Atp6v0e2	ENSMUSG0000039347	over
M400004024	cDNA sequence BC013672	BC013672	ENSMUSG0000037921	over
M400008030	Bone gamma-carboxyglutamate protein, related sequence 1	Bglap-rs l	ENSMUSG0000074489	over
M300011602	Carbonic anhydrase 14	Carl 4	ENSMUSG0000038526	over
M200000995	Cholecystokinins precursor	Cck§	ENSMUSG0000032532	over
M200013753	Coronin 7	Coro7	ENSMUSG0000039637	over
M200003934	Cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily c, polypeptide 29	Сур2с29	ENSMUSG0000003053	over
M300013894	RIKEN cDNA D130054N24 gene	D130054N24Rik	ENSMUSG0000042790	over
M400003995	RIKEN cDNA D330050123 gene	D330050I23Rik	ENSMUSG0000072569	over
M300010488	Dermokine	Dmkn	ENSMUSG0000060962	over
M200003607	Dedicator of cytokinesis 7	Dock7	ENSMUSG0000028556	over
M300014949	Endothelial differentiation, sphingolipid G-protein-coupled receptor. 5	Edg5	ENSMUSG0000043895	over
M400001692	Predicted gene	FG620592	ENSMUSG0000071719	over
M400010593	Forkhead box protein RI	Foxrl	ENSMUSG0000074397	over
	(Forkhead box protein N5)			
M300000132	Homeo box A4	Hoxa4	ENSMUSG0000000942	over
M400013298	LSM14 protein homolog A (Rap55)	Lsm I 4a	ENSMUSG0000066568	over
M400004821	Lysocardiolipin acyltransferase	Lycat	ENSMUSG0000054469	over
M400009939	Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 9	Мар3к9	ENSMUSG0000042724	over
M300007290	Mesoderm posterior 2	Mesp2	ENSMUSG0000030543	over
M200007123	Muted protein	Muted§	ENSMUSG0000038982	under
M200010626	Matrix-remodelling associated 8	Mxra8	ENSMUSG0000073679	over
M200007448	Nitric oxide synthase interacting protein	Nosip	ENSMUSG0000003421	over
M300018063	Olfactory receptor 1495	Olfr   495	ENSMUSG0000047207	over
M300017588	Olfactory receptor 66	Olfr66	ENSMUSG0000058200	over
M300015973	Olfactory receptor 669	Olfr669	ENSMUSG0000073916	over
M300002331	Predicted gene	MGI:3652048	ENSMUSG0000020682	over
M200003458	Oxytocin	Oxt	ENSMUSG0000027301	over
M400010890	Mus musculus polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide C	Polr2c	ENSMUSG0000031783	over
M200000936	Peripherin I	Prph I	ENSMUSG0000023484	over
M300003403	PTK2 protein tyrosine kinase 2	Ptk2	ENSMUSG0000022607	under
M400001722	Slingshot homolog 3 (Drosophila)	Ssh3	ENSMUSG0000034616	over
M300003482	Type 2 lactosamine alpha-2,3- sialyltransferase	St3gal6§	ENSMUSG0000022747	under
M200000227	Stromal interaction molecule I	Stim I	ENSMUSG0000030987	over
M300001453	Surfeit gene 5	Surf5	ENSMUSG0000015776	over
M40000616	Thrombopoietin precursor	Thpo	ENSMUSG0000022847	over
M400009774	Transmembrane BAX inhibitor motif containing I	Tmbim I	ENSMUSG0000006301	over
M200013582	Transmembrane protein 25	Tmem25	ENSMUSG0000002032	over
M400000938	Transmembrane protein 63A	Tmem63a§	ENSMUSG0000026519	under

		-		
M400013169	Xin actin-binding repeat containing 2 isoform 2	Xirþ2	ENSMUSG0000027022	over
M400014435	Zinc finger protein 84	Zfp84	ENSMUSG0000046185	over
M400018008	Novel Protein	Not assigned	AC160535	over
M400012711	Novel protein (I830077J02Rik)	Not assigned	AC121847	over
M400017112	Uncharacterised	Not assigned	AK054246	over
M400003712	Uncharacterised	Not assigned	AC122270	over
M400008575	Uncharacterised	Not assigned	ENSMUSG0000064159	over

Table 1: Summary of 50 gene predictor s	set, which classified	d samples with 95%	accuracy* (Continued)
---	-----------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

\*Genes are sorted by gene symbol; § Not included in differentially expressed gene list



		Number of genes used /			
Sample	Real	Predicted Class			
ID	class	2	50	100	125
PBMC42	MS	SH	MS	MS	MS
PBMC47	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC50	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC58	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC66	MS	SH	MS	MS	MS
PBMC63	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC55	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC54	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC46	MS	SH	MS	SH	MS
PBMC43	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC49	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH
PBMC53	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH
PBMC61	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH
PBMC65	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH
PBMC69	SH	MS	MS	MS	MS
PBMC64	SH	MS	SH	SH	SH
PBMC60	SH	MS	SH	SH	SH
PBMC57	SH	MS	SH	SH	SH
PBMC28	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH
PBMC21	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH

#### Figure 5

**Sample classification and prediction results**. (A) Leave-one-out error rates of classifiers. The KNN algorithm (blue line) reaches an optimal prediction efficiency of 95% with a minimum of 50 genes. Using 125 genes the SVM algorithm (green line) obtains this efficiency, and converges with KNN. (B) Hierarchically sample clustered (Pearson correlation metric with average linkage) profiles for the 50 gene predictor set. Notice, that although only 19 out of 20 samples were correctly classified, hierarchical clustering separates all samples into two general treatment-related clusters. (C) A summary of KNN sample classification results, showing details of the misclassification of individual samples. Although most samples classes were correctly predicted, PBMC69, an SH sample, was consistently misclassified. P = PBMC.

# Additional material

### Additional file 1

Supplementary Methods. Detailed description of materials and methods, including a summary of RNA sample purity and integrity, and examples of box- and MA-plots from the PFC microarray dataset. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-195-S1.DOC]

# Additional file 2

# Table S2 Frontal Association Cortex differentially expressed genes.Summary of differentially expressed genes identified in frontal association

cortex, including p-values for Info and SAM statistics, and  $\log_2$  fold differences.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-195-S2.XLS]

# Additional file 3

**Table S3 Hippocampus differentially expressed genes**. Summary of differentially expressed genes identified in hippocampus, including p-values for Info and SAM statistics, and log<sub>2</sub> fold differences. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-195-S3.XLS]

# Additional file 4

**Table S4 Hypothalamus differentially expressed genes**. Summary of differentially expressed genes identified in hypothalamus, including p-values for Info and SAM statistics, and  $\log_2$  fold differences. Click here for file

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-195-S4.XLS]

# Additional file 5

Table S5 Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells differentially expressed genes. Summary of differentially expressed genes identified in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells, including p-values for Info and SAM statistics, and log<sub>2</sub> fold differences.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-195-S5.XLS]

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the following grants: a SA-Spain Collaboration Grant (UID 65229) held jointly by Dr Joaquin Dopazo (Bioinformatics Department, Centro de Investigación Principe Felipe, Valencia, Spain) and NI, and a National Research Foundations (NRF) Grant

(ICD2006071800016) held by NI. JvH was a holder of a NRF Scarce Skills Scholarship. The National Institute of Bioinformatics <u>http://www.inab.org</u> is a platform of Genoma España.

### References

 Segman RH, Shefi N, Goltser-Dubner T, Friedman N, Kaminski N, Shalev AY: Peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles identify emergent post-traumatic stress disorder among trauma survivors. *Mol Psychiatry* 2005, 10(5):500-513.

- Tsuang MT, Nossova N, Yager T, Tsuang MM, Guo SC, Shyu KG, Glatt SJ, Liew CC: Assessing the validity of blood-based gene expression profiles for the classification of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a preliminary report. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2005, 133B(1):1-5.
- Besedovsky HO, del Rey A: Immune-neuro-endocrine interactions: facts and hypotheses. Endocr Rev 1996, 17(1):64-102.
- 4. Tang Y, Lu A, Aronow BJ, Sharp FR: Blood genomic responses differ after stroke, seizures, hypoglycemia, and hypoxia: blood genomic fingerprints of disease. Ann Neurol 2001, 50(6):699-707.
- Meaney MJ, Szyf M: Maternal care as a model for experiencedependent chromatin plasticity? Trends in Neurosciences 2005, 28(9):456-463.
- Romeo RD, Mueller A, Sisti HM, Ogawa S, McEwen BS, Brake WG: Anxiety and fear behaviors in adult male and female C57BL/ 6 mice are modulated by maternal separation. *Horm Behav* 2003, 43(5):561-567.
- Smyth GK: Limma: linear models for microarray data. In Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Solutions using R and Bioconductor Edited by: Gentleman R, Carey V, Dudoit S, Irizarry R, Huber W. New York: Springer; 2005:397-420.
- Nueda M, Conesa A, Westerhuis J, Hoefsloot H, Smilde A, Talon M, Ferrer A: Discovering gene expression patterns in time course microarray experiments by ANAVO-SCA. Bioinformatics 2007, 23(14):8.
- Guo L, Lobenhofer EK, Wang C, Shippy R, Harris SC, Zhang L, Mei N, Chen T, Herman D, Goodsaid FM, et al.: Rat toxicogenomic study reveals analytical consistency across microarray platforms. Nat Biotechnol 2006, 24(9):1162-1169.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G: Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98(9):5116-5121.
- Conesa A, Gotz S, García-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M: Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21(18):3674-3676.
- Al-Shahrour F, Arbiza L, Dopazo H, Huerta-Cepas J, Minguez P, Montaner D, Dopazo J: From genes to functional classes in the study of biological systems. BMC Bioinformatics 2007, 8:114.
- Medina I, Montaner D, Tarraga J, Dopazo J: Prophet, a web-based tool for class prediction using microarray data. *Bioinformatics* 2007, 23(3):390-391.
- Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU: How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. Endocr Rev 2000, 21(1):55-89.
- Olson R: GABA. In Neuropsychopharmacology: The Fifth Generation of Progress Edited by: Davis K, Charney D, Coyle J, Nemeroff C. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
- Wirkner K, Gunther A, Weber M, Guzman SJ, Krause T, Fuchs J, Koles L, Norenberg W, Illes P: Modulation of NMDA receptor current in layer V pyramidal neurons of the rat prefrontal cortex by P2Y receptor activation. *Cereb Cortex* 2007, 17(3):621-631.
- Jhamandas JH, Simonin F, Bourguignon JJ, Harris KH: Neuropeptide FF and neuropeptide VF inhibit GABAergic neurotransmission in parvocellular neurons of the rat hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2007, 292(5):R1872-1880.
- Osterweil E, Wells DG, Mooseker MS: A role for myosin VI in postsynaptic structure and glutamate receptor endocytosis. *Journal of Cell Biology* 2005, 168(2):329-338.
- Puig MV, Santana Ň, Celada P, Mengod G, Artigas F: In vivo excitation of GABA interneurons in the medial prefrontal cortex through 5-HT3 receptors. Cereb Cortex 2004, 14(12):1365-1375.
- Crestani F, Lorez M, Baer K, Essrich C, Benke D, Laurent JP, Belzung C, Fritschy JM, Luscher B, Mohler H: Decreased GABAA-receptor clustering results in enhanced anxiety and a bias for threat cues. Nat Neurosci 1999, 2(9):833-839.
- 21. Vassilaki A, Lanneau C, Dournand P, de Lecea L, Gardette R, Epelbaum J: Cortistatin affects glutamate sensitivity in mouse hypothalamic neurons through activation of somatostatin receptor subtype. *Neuroscience* 1999, **88(2):**359-364.
- 22. Moghaddam B: Stress activation of glutamate neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex: implications for dopamine-

associated psychiatric disorders. Biological Psychiatry 2002, 51:775-787.

- Kasprowicz DJ, Smallwood PS, Tyznik AJ, Ziegler SF: Scurfin (FoxP3) controls T-dependent immune responses in vivo through regulation of CD4+ T cell effector function. *J Immunol* 2003, 171(3):1216-1223.
- 24. Gaffen SL: An overview of IL-17 function and signaling. Cytokine 2008, 43(3):402-407.
- Grayson MH, Holtzman MJ: Chemokine complexity: the case for CCL5. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2006, 35(2):143-146.
- Ring R, Malberg J, Potestio L, Ping J, Boikess S, Luo B, Schechter L, Rizzo S, Rahman Z, Rosenzweig-Lipson S: Anxiolytic-like activity of oxytocin in male mice: behavioral and autonomic evidence, therapeutic implications. *Psychopharmacology* 2006, 185(2):218-225.
- Schaefer ML, Wong ST, Wozniak DF, Muglia LM, Liauw JA, Zhuo M, Nardi A, Hartman RE, Vogt SK, Luedke CE, et al.: Altered stressinduced anxiety in adenylyl cyclase type VIII-deficient mice. J Neurosci 2000, 20(13):4809-4820.
- Windle RJ, Shanks N, Lightman SL, Ingram CD: Central oxytocin administration reduces stress-induced corticosterone release and anxiety behavior in rats. Endocrinology 1997, 138(7):2829-2834.
- 29. Csaba G, Pallinger E: In vitro effect of hormones on the hormone content of rat peritoneal and thymic cells. Is there an endocrine network inside the immune system? Inflamm Res 2007, 56(11):447-451.
- Meng AH, Ling YL, Zhang XP, Zhang JL: Anti-inflammatory effect of cholecystokinin and its signal transduction mechanism in endotoxic shock rat. World J Gastroenterol 2002, 8(4):712-717.

